G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907-08
~~191~~ .
(Madras)

File No. 653

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re re-Valuation of the answer-papers of certain candidates who had appeared for the Late Edward Examinations held in H H the Maharaja's Sanskrit College Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

re-valuation of the answer papers of certain candidates who had appeared for the late Vidwat Examinations held in H. H. the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore.

From

The Secretary to the Managing Committee of the Sanskrit College.

No. D.O Dated 3rd December 1907.

One Venur Krishnaghar, a ~~plaintiff~~ candidate who failed in the Vyakarana Branch of the Vidwat Examinations held in H. H. the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore, in the year 1907, presented a petition complaining of unfairness on the part of certain examiners in dealing with his answer papers. Similar complaint petitions were also made by Holavanahalli Kattte Krishnaghar and Tarka Vidwan Pavagada Krishnaghar, who had gone up for the Dwaita Vedanta Branch Part II Examination of the same year. These three

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

petitions were forwarded to the President of the College Committee for detailed report together with his opinion in the matter of re-valuing the answer papers. The answer papers of Nerur Krishnaghar, the candidate for the Vyakarana Branch, were also called for

The President's report and the answer papers of all the three candidates have been received. From the tabular statement also submitted by him, it is observed that Holavanahalli Katte Krishnaghar has obtained 216 marks and Tarka Vidwan Pavagada Krishnaghar 247 marks out of 500 marks assigned for all the subjects comprised under Part II of the Dwaita Vedanta Branch As regards this Branch, the Secretary to the Committee says * Vi. A. Mahadeva Sastri that a candidate has to obtain 50 per cent of the total marks, to secure a pass. The committee observe that the first candidate Holavanahalli Katte Krishnaghar did not fare well in the Viva-voce Examination to deserve a pass, while the other, viz, Pavagada Krishnaghar failed for want of 3 marks. The President further expresses the opinion that there does not seem to be unfairness on the part of the examiners and that there is no reason to revalue their papers.

C.D.D.—5,000—13-2-05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

As regards Nerur Krishnachar, the candidate for the Kyakarana Branch, however, it is observed from the tabular statement that he has obtained 72 marks out of 100 in Sekhara, and 36 marks out of 60 in Bhushana Sara, and in the other 3 subjects of Maha-bhashya Part I, Do Part II, and in Viva-voce, for which Pandits Ramakrishna Sastri and Kasi Subrahmanya Sastri (the persons complained of by the petitioner) were the Examiners, the candidate has obtained 24, 14 and 17 out of 70, 70 and 75 respectively. The Secretary to the Board reports that to secure a pass in this Branch, viz Kyakarana, a candidate has to obtain 40 per cent in each of the three subjects into which that Branch is divided, viz Sekhara, Mahabhashya and Bhushana, and 50 per cent on the whole. The Hon'ble President also states that if the candidate failed to obtain 40 per cent in any one of the constituent subjects (which is

provided
obtained more than 30 per cent therein, and also
that he obtained
50 per cent or more on the whole, such a candidate used
to be
~~was~~ also declared successful, on the principle
that such a candidate is a little above the average.

In the case of the candidate in question, viz Narayana Krishnaghar, the President is of opinion that there appears some ground for fear that there may be some unfairness on the part of the examiners complained of, and proposes that his answer papers in the subjects in which they were examiners may be got re-valued by the other two Examiners, namely Pandits Kanagavachar of the Bangalore Sanskrit College and Subrayachar of Tumkur.

Submitted

by

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

Taking up the cases of the two candidates who failed in Dwaita Vedanta Examination Part II, it is found that Pavanada Krishnachar has obtained 247 marks instead of the minimum of 250 prescribed for that Examination. As no separate minimum are fixed for the several subjects comprising this Examination, the total minimum of 250 marks is all that has to be seen to in connection with this Examination and the candidate has been found wanting only in 3 marks. This is rather a hard case and it is a pity that the committee did not consider the desirability of passing the candidate under the circumstances. Mr Madevasastri however states in his D. O. letter that it has never been the practice to concede any marks to make up the total minimum.

The other candidate Vaidya Krishnachar has obtained only 215 instead of 250 and his case is therefore not deserving of consideration. He has -

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

been however bitterly complaining not only this year but also in the next previous year that the Examiners are prejudiced against him on the ground that Gowda, one Venkataramachar his tutor is not in good terms with Royapalyam Raghavendracharlar one of the -- Examiners. The question is whether the answer ---- papers in this case should be revalued on account of the allegation of unfairness made by him. Upon last year's representation on the same subject the Dewan was pleased to direct that no action was necessary. The President says that there is no reason to re-value the answer papers of these two candidates.

The next candidate whose case is to be con-sidered is Venkatakrishnaiah who failed in Vyakarana Part II. To secure a pass in this Examination the -- candidate has to obtain 40% in each of the three -- subjects- viz Sekhara, Nyayabhooshana and Bhashadeepika and 50% on the whole. He has obtained 72 out of 100 in Sekhara and 53 out of 80 in Bhushanasara in which

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Karigiriachar and Subbaroyachar were Examiners. In Mahabhashya Parts I and II he has obtained only 24 and 14 out of 70 and in viva voci 17 out of 75 in--- which Vasi Subramanyasastri and Ramakrishnasastri - were Examiners. He has thus obtained 155 out of 575 or 43 on the Whole.

In regard to this candidate's case, the -- President states that there is some ground for fear that there may be some unfairness on the part of -- the local Examiners (Viz Vasi Subramanyasastri and Ramakrishnasastri). He therefore proposes that --- Veeraraghavachar's answer papers in which they - were Examiners may be got revalued by the other two Examiners namely Pandits Karigiriachar (Bangalore Sanskrit College) and Subbaroyachar (Kumbakonam or Tirupati).

The alleged unfairness appears to be - due to the fact that the candidate is a disciple of the late Venkataramanachar of the Mysore Sanskrit --

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

College who again was the disciple of Pandita Ratna Kumbhakonam Seshachar, while the two Examiners complained against are the disciples of Vasi Sesha --- Ramasastri of Mysore, Subrahmanyasastri heing the son of the said Vasi Seshara masastri. The two big Pandits Kumbhakonam Seshachar and Vasi Sesha Ramasastri appear to have been rivals in fame and therefore it is said that the rivalry has descended to their disciples. The candidate in question is stated to be a really very intelligent young man and the committee have recently temporarily appointed him as a minor teacher of Vyakarana in the College. Considering all these circumstances, the President proposes -- that his papers should be revalued. The committee -- think that it would form an inconvenient precedent to subject the answers of the candidates to revaluation whenever such complaints are preferred. They however think it desirable to have the answer papers in question gone through to ascertain for our ow

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

information whether there was any unfairness on the part of the Examiners.

Orders are solicited as to whether the -- answer papers of all or any of the 3 candidates named above should be revalued. A list is attached showing the names of Pandits residing outside Mysore, any of whom might be selected for revaluation. Pandit Varigariachar of the Bangalore Sanskrit College has also been included in the list.

There is no doubt that it would be very inconvenient to order the revaluation of the papers whenever a complaint is put forward, but such complaints have become so frequent of late that it -- - would perhaps be well to clear up doubts by --- getting the 3 answer papers of these candidates -- - regarding which complaints are made revalued.

The answer papers of the candidates are with me.

282

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

Thandavarayan
25/1/08

GPB--3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

V Y A K A R A N A :-

1. Tiruvadi Subberoyachar residing in Tirupati.

2. Setuvachar-- Tiruvadi Patasala Adhyaksha.

3. Kowsigikar Krishnacharya Viraj -Srimanth- Patasala Upadhyaya.

4. Padarinath Sastri-- Baroda.

5. Sangle Venkataramanachar-- Sangli.

6. Pandit Karigiriachar of the Bangalore Sanskrit College.

7. Yelaneli Vittalachar Surapura (Hyderabad territory) Deccan.

1. Vaditira Samayyachar --Kumbhakonam.

2. Setuvachar- Tiruvadi Patasala Adhyaksha.

3. Tiruvadi Subbaroyachar residing in Tirupati.

4. Raghavendrachar son of Koosanachar of Kumbhakonam.

5. Hanumantachar-- Manjanad Matt, Chitaldrug.

6. Yelaneli Vittalachar- Surapura (Hyderabad territory) Deccan.

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

439
27/08

There are many loud Com
plaints both from the
Examinees and the
general public interested
in the matter, that all
is not right with some
of the Examiners who
it is alleged are swayed
by private feelings
in awarding marks.
I have heard it said
that the young man
who has failed in
Vyakarana is a brilliant
Scholar and deserves
to pass with credit.
Even the Committee have
admitted the necessity
of revaluing the papers

GPB -3,000- 22 6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

of the Candidate
In view of the general
Complaints heard on
the subject, it is necessary
to reassure our own
minds & the public
also by getting the
papers of the 3 Candidates
revalued by Examiners
of acknowledged reputa-
tion for learning &
fair Conduct.
Nothing will please me
more than to find that
the valuation already
made is fair all round
and that the accusations
so freely made are
unfounded. On the

GPB--3,000--22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

other hand if the marking is found to be positively unfair, the exposure of such mean tactics will help to purge the public sanctity & emanations from such a scandal in future

The papers may be sent to Dewan Subrayachar and Setturachar.

Both are outside Mysore and may be expected to judge fairly.

M. V. Krishnamurthy
2d/councillor

7740
28.1.08 27/1/08

27/1/08 Dewan's order
The Dewan thinks that the evils

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

resulting from adopting the course recommended will more than counterbalance the good that is expected. A better course would be to appoint a new set of examiners for the coming examination - B.V. Ku 4/2/08

Received 15/2/08 Ku

Submitted to the 2nd Commissioner for perusal.

15/2/08

Seen. 17/2/08

Please draft a reply 4/3/08

A.V. 4/3/08

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

tbr. 1907

To

The President

H.H. the Maharaja's Sanskrit College Committee, Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petn. presented by Rev. Krishnayya, one of the students who appeared for the Vidwat Examination of 1907 in Vyakarana Branch, ~~expressing that~~ complaining of unfairness on the part of one of the Examiners in dealing with his answer papers. I am to request the favour of your submitting a detailed report on the following points:-

The 2nd M. has also verbally ordered that the answer papers ~~were~~ should also be obtained.

17.10.07

Jt. No. 19728/17.10.07

Encl.

i) who were all the
Examiners this year
in Vyakarana?
ii) what marks has
the applicant obtained
in the respective papers?
iii) ~~In case~~ If the
applicant has ~~obtained~~
~~high marks~~ passed successfully
in all the others papers
excepting the one in which
he says he failed, is there
any likelihood of his
securing a pass in the latter
if the ~~two~~ connected answer
papers are revalued
by other examiners?

2. I am ~~a~~ request

the favour of your placing the matter before the Committee and submitting a detailed report on all points connected with the case at an early date

3. I am also to request that the answer papers of the Candidate in the Subject in which he failed together with the Question paper relating thereto may be submitted to the undersigned. I have the

17.10.07

16/10/07

No. 1973

Ends & Ret.

Pft as per orders

To,

The President,
H H The Maharaja's Sanskrit
College Committee, Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petition dated 14th October 1904 from Holavanahalli Kattle Krishnachar, one of the Students who appeared for the Vidwat Examination of 1904 in ~~the II Branch~~ Part II of Dwaita Vedanta Philosophy, complaining of unfairness on the part of the Examiners in dealing with their answer papers. I am directed To request that you will be good enough to Submit a detailed report on the several points raised in ~~his~~ their petitions together with your opinion in the matter of re-valuation of the answer papers as urged by the petitioners.

I have the honour to be Sir Your obedient Servant

20/10/04

20/10

No. 20558/21.10.07
Exams 92.

and also Petn from Varka Vidwan Pavaseda Krishnachar who went up for the same Exams in Part I & II

Ltr 19/1000 for his answer

(General Serial No 30.)

1907.

From B Ramakrishna Rao, Esq,
President, Managing Committee
Maharaja's Sanskrit College,
Mysore

To L Anantasami Rao, Esq,
Secretary to the Government
of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Bangal

Dated 24th November 1907

Despatched 27th

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 21
No.

Where is the basket containing answer papers?

Don't open the cover when received

Please submit Office Note soon
Ananda
29.11.07

The answer papers are with the Dy.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 1972 dated 17th October 1907 and 2055 dated 21st October 1907 forwarding the petition of (1) Nerur Krishnachar and petitioner Jayakarana Sidhanta examination Part I and of (2) Holavanahalli Kate Krishnachar and (3) Paragada Ramachar, persons whose were candidates for the Vidwat examination complaining of unfairness on the part of the examiners which is said to have led to their failure in the recent examination in the Sanskrit College, I have the honour to say that the petitions were placed before the Managing Committee for consideration. After having considered the question from all possible points of view the Committee

ame to the conclusion that it would form an precedent to subject answers of the candidates unsuccessful, to revaluation, whenever such of unfairness on the part of the examiners concerned are referred by the candidates In principle, it is a question whether such a course is recognized At the same time, however, the committee think it desirable to have the answer papers in question gone through to ascertain for our own information whether there was any unfairness on the part of the examiners

2 As I am given to understand that the two unsuccessful candidates in the Advaita Vedanta did not fare in the viva voce examination quite so well as to not to deserve a failure in the examination, there is no reason to review their answer papers. As to the case of Veeraraghavachariar there appears some ground for fear that there may be some unfairness on the part of the Vaidika examiner. I therefore propose that his answer papers in the subjects in which they were examiners may be got revalued by the other two examiners namely Pandits Rangacharya (Sanskrit College) and Subbaraya Sastri (Tamil Pandit Kumbakonam)

3 As regards the question which a detailed report was called for, a tabular statement is herewith submitted giving the necessary information in regard thereto

4 The answer papers of the candidates under reference are sent in a separable cover

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Mahadeva Sastri
for President

Subject	Parts		Exam. in Marks		Examiner	Remarks
			max			
arana II						
	1). Sekhara		100✓	72✓	Nyagal Karigiracharya	In Subjects (1) and (4) Krishnacharya has done well especially in (1) For the other papers and Viva-voce the local examiners are ' —, responsible — They have given them very low marks.
ena Krishnachar	2). Mahabhashya	I	70✓	24✓	Ramakrishnavasthe	
	3) — — — do —	II	70✓	14✓	Kasi Subrahmanyasastri	
	4). Bhushanasara		60✓	36✓	Subbanayacharya.	
	Viva-Voce		75	17	Kasi Subrahmanyasastri Ramakrustinarasthi	
Part II						
anamahalli Krishnachar	Subrabhashya	I	80✓	37✓	Raghavendracharya	He gets 216 out of 500 marks He ought to get 250 marks for a pass- He did not fare quite well in the Viva-Voce Examination
	— do —	II	80✓	22✓	M. Krishnacharya	
	— do —	III	100	51-	Raghavendracharya	
	— do —	IV	80	30	M Krishnacharya	
	— do —	V	60✓	30✓	M Krishnacharya.	
	Viva - Voce.		100	46		

Poiragada Krishnachar	Subba bhashya	I	80	34	Raghavendrachar	He gets 247
———	do	II	80	28	M. Krishnachar	500 marks - He
———	do	III	100	65	Raghavendrachar	for want of
———	do	IV	80	29	M Krishnachar	only. There
———	do	V	60	30	Raghavendrachar	no unfairness. He
	Viva Voce		100	61		last year also in the

Muz 1 A]

190

From

25-9-02

2/12

Sent off 2/12

To
A. Mahadevasastri Esqre B.A.,
Secretary to the Managing Committee of
H.H. the Maharaja's Sans: Coll:
Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

My dear Sir

I am in the receipt
of your official letter No 21, dated
24th November 1902, forwarding
a Statement of the marks re
obtained by Vidwan Krishnasastri
Holavanahalli Kattte Krishnasastri
and Vavagada Krishnasastri during
the recent Vidwat Examinations
held in the Sanskrit College. I am
also in receipt of the several answer

GPB—3,000—21-7 03

papers sent by you enclosed in a separate cover. I would like to know if it is necessary for the said ~~can~~ candidates to pass in each of the several parts into which the ~~subjects~~ branches of Vyakarana and Dwaita Vedanta are divided for passing in those ~~subjects~~ branches in full, and if so, what is the minimum prescribed in each of such parts and on the whole.

Kindly also let me know if the Committee is not empowered to give any grace marks in special cases, and if so when under what circumstances and to what extent such power may be exercised. I shall feel much obliged if you will send me a reply very soon, ~~by return post~~ furnishing information on all the above points and also return the petitions of the 3 candidates referred to above which are under disposal and which have not been filed with your communication.

Hoping you are well,

Yours sincerely,

21

Mysore
3rd December 1907.

My dear sir,

I am in due receipt of your D. O. dated 2nd instant. As desired therein, I send herewith the petitions of the three candidates under reference.

To secure a pass in Vyakarana part II, a candidate has to — obtain 40 per cent in each of the three subjects — viz. Sekhara, Mahâbhâshya, and Bhûshana sâra — and 50 per cent on the whole. In the Dvaita-Vedanta Part II, a candidate has to obtain 50 per cent on the whole to secure a pass. The whole of the

Part II forms one subject

The Committee have given grace marks; they only declare a Candidate success when he has obtained 50 cent or more on the whole but has failed to obtain 40 per in any one of the Constituent Subjects, provided he has obt not less than 30 per cent in Subject. If the Candidate fails to secure 50 marks on the whole, no grace or concession is allowed seeing that he is below the average on the whole The principle is that if a Candidate be a little above the average on the whole he may be declared to have passed though he may fail to secure the prescribed —

minimum of 40 per cent in any one of the constituent subjects.

Yours obediently,

N. Mahadevaseh

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esq
B.A
Munsif Secretary
Bangalore.

2 Drafts

Draft as per Dewan's order
Muznai

Read,

Petitions dated 15th, 18th and 19th October 1904 from Sriman Krishna Sastri, a candidate for Vyakarana Vidwat examination Part I and from Holnarahalli Kalle Krishna Char and Savagada Krishna Char, Candidates for the Dwaita Vedanta Examination complaining of unfairness on the part of the examiners which is said to have led to their failure in the recent annual examinations held in the year 1907 in H H the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore.

Correspondence ending with D O dated 3d Dec 1907 from the President to the Managing committee of H H the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore

Order thereon no.

C/o H/703/24 & 25.
Ecc. Misc. Proceedings

One Nerur Krishna char.

a candidate who failed in the Vyakarna Branch of the Vidwat Examinations in H.H the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore, in the year 1904 and also Holavanahalli Katte Krishna char and Tarka Vidwan Basavagada Krishna char, who failed in the Dwaita Vedanta Branch Part II Examination of the same year, Complain in their petitions read above of unfairness on the part of certain examiners in dealing with their answer papers. The President to the managing Committee of the Mysore Sanskrit college, to whom the matter was referred, has submitted under Cover of his detailed report on the subject, the answer papers of all the three Candidates and the tabular

Statement showing the marks obtained by the candidates in each subject

He reports ~~in the Correspondence read above~~ that the petitions were placed before the managing committee for Consideration, that after discussing the question from all possible points of view, the committee came to the Conclusion that it would form an inconvenient precedent to subject the answers of the candidates successful or unsuccessful, to revaluation, whenever such complaints of unfairness on the part of the examiners concerned are preferred by the examinees.

He further reports that in principle, it is a question whether such a course is recognizable and that the committee however, think it desirable to have

the answer papers gone
through to ascertain whether
there was any unfairness
on the part of the examiners.
He states that the two
unsuccessful candidates
in the Dwaita Vedanta
did not fare in the Viva
Voce examination quite
so well as ~~not~~ to deserve
a ~~failure~~ pass in the examination,
and that there is no
reason to revalue their
answer papers. (2) As to the
case of Venu Krishna char,
the President
~~he~~ states that there appears
some ground for fear
that there may be some
unfairness on the part of
the local examiners, and
recommends that his answer
papers in the subjects in
which they were examiners
may be got re-valued
by the other two examiners

Namely Pandits Kanigiriga-char of the Bangalore Sanskrit College, and Subba Raya char, Kumbhakonam or Tirupati.

(3) The Govt consider that the evils resulting from adopting the course recommended will more than counterbalance the gain Govt is expected to derive, and direct that in a better course, a new set of examiners should may be appointed for the coming examination.

We therefore direct that no revaluation of the answer papers will be made, as necessary.

4. A new set of Examiners should be appointed in future.

18/3/08

Dewan's order

Secretary

18/3/08

To,

The President To the managing committee of H.H. the maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore, returning the answer papers.

25-92

2 drafts

417, 4172 ✓

4173 dt 24.3.08

(1)

(2)

(3)

By order

Deputy/under Secretary

18/3/08